

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

STAFFORD, VIRGINIA

MINUTES

Regular Meeting

September 16, 2014

Call to Order A regular meeting of the Stafford County Board of Supervisors was called to order by Jack R. Cavalier, Chairman, at 3:00 p.m., on Tuesday, September 16, 2014, in the Board Chambers, at the George L. Gordon, Jr., Government Center.

Roll Call The following members were present: Jack R. Cavalier, Chairman; Gary F. Snellings, Vice Chairman; Meg Bohmke; Paul V. Milde, III; Laura A. Sellers; Cord A. Sterling; and Robert “Bob” Thomas, Jr.

Also in attendance were: Timothy J. Barody, Deputy County Administrator; Charles Shumate, County Attorney; Marcia Hollenberger, Chief Deputy Clerk; Pamela Timmons, Deputy Clerk; associated staff, and other interested parties.

350th Blue Ribbon Committee Sponsored School Video Production Mr. Cavalier introduced the video saying that it represented the hard work and efforts of members of the 350th Blue Ribbon Committee and students at Brooke Point High School. The subject of the video was the “Battle for Aquia Harbor.” Mr. Milde talked about visible earthworks on Marlborough Point Road, saying that he wanted the earthworks put into conservation, and asked staff to look into posting signs and working with the landowners towards placing a cultural easement on the property. There were no objections from Board members to Mr. Milde’s request.

Presentation by Dr. David Sam, Germanna Community College Dr. David Sam, President of Germanna Community College (GCC), addressed the Board and spoke about GCC’s short- and long-term goals, including expansion of the existing rental space as well as the eventual building of a permanent GCC campus in Stafford County. Dr. Sam also discussed possible partnerships with Stafford County Schools for an early college program, as well as shared library services with the Central Rappahannock Regional Library. GCC plans to hold a “visioning” session to which Board members will be invited and asked to share the County’s vision for GCC. An eventual master plan will be submitted to State and College Board(s) for review and approval to locate a permanent campus in Stafford County.

Mr. Cavalier noted that the Board stood ready to support Dr. Sam and his efforts to locate a permanent GCC campus in Stafford County, and temporary expansion of existing facilities.

Mr. Milde motioned, seconded by Mr. Sterling, to adopt proposed Resolution R14-232.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Resolution R14-232 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO ENDORSE EFFORTS TO OBTAIN PRIVATE AND STATE FUNDING IN SUPPORT OF THE EXPANSION OF GERMANNA COMMUNITY COLLEGE IN STAFFORD COUNTY

WHEREAS, Germanna Community College has served Stafford County and surrounding localities since October, 1970; and

WHEREAS, in 2009, Germanna Community College opened the Stafford Education Center, in large part due to the investment of the County's Economic Development Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Stafford Education Center was at capacity before the end of its first academic year; and in the near-term, the Stafford Education Center requires that its space more than double to accommodate a growing population; and

WHEREAS, in 2012, Germanna Community College moved its automotive technology program to the County, which has doubled participation in the program; and

WHEREAS, Germanna Community College has a long-term goal of establishing a permanent location in the County's courthouse area; and

WHEREAS, Germanna Community College is a long-time contributor to the workforce development needs in the community, and a strong supporter of economic development efforts in the County; and

WHEREAS, Germanna Community College desires to seek collaborative approaches with partners including the County, Stafford County Public Schools, and the Central Rappahannock Regional Library, which may lead to early college programs, shared library services, and other innovative programs and offerings;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that it be and hereby does commend Germanna Community College's efforts on behalf of County residents; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Stafford County Board of Supervisors endorses Germanna Community College's efforts to obtain both short-term and long-term private and State funding in support of its ongoing expansion in and service to Stafford County.

Presentation by Mr. Tom Carlson, Habitat for Humanity Mr. Carlson gave a presentation including a discussion about Habitat increasing the size of its retail store, which would result in the need for additional staff. He discussed Habitat's ongoing efforts to recycle as much as possible so as to be good stewards of the region's resources. Mr. Carlson said that \$20k from the County's reserve would go a long way towards enabling Habitat to achieve its goals. He suggested that the County look at condemned property, tax-delinquent property, and blighted property and consider donating it to Habitat for use as home sites for approved Habitat families. Mr. Carlson noted that he met with Mr. Scott Mayausky, the Commissioner of the Revenue, who told him that there were a number of potential properties that fit Mr. Carlson's description of possible donations.

Mr. Sterling asked if a lot was abandoned, but potentially buildable, would Habitat be interested. Mr. Carlson said that Habitat would definitely be interested. He said that approved families must provide \$500 in "sweat equity," an affordable down-payment, and would be given a 0% interest mortgage on their new home.

Mr. Milde asked that the discussion be continued. It was decided that Habitat for Humanity would be placed on the next regularly scheduled Community and Economic Development Committee meeting agenda.

Legislative Update, Mr. Ken Hutcheson, Williams Mullen Mr. Hutcheson addressed the Board, speaking first about the State's budget crisis and the recent bipartisan efforts to close the budget shortfall in the remainder of FY2015 and in FY2016. He said there were \$272 million in potential cuts and that local governments would face an approximate \$30 million in cuts, but added that K-12 education was held harmless in FY2015. Higher education and the "rainy day fund" would be used in an effort to remedy the shortfall. Mr. Hutcheson added that economic conditions and increased revenue may remedy the situation somewhat but there may be additional cuts forthcoming.

Mr. Hutcheson reminded the Board that Williams Mullen supported the County year-round, not just when the General Assembly was in session. He said that the first deadline for the 2015 General Assembly was December 18, 2014, and asked the Board to consider having its legislative priorities/initiatives outlined and ready for a vote at the Board's October 21, 2014, meeting.

Ms. Bohmke asked why the State was experiencing such a huge budget shortfall. Mr. Hutcheson said it had nothing to do with Medicaid. Rather, last year's forecasted revenue collections were woefully short; that sequestration had a major impact on Virginia's economy; and a sluggish economy was also partially to blame.

Mr. Cavalier said that it was incumbent upon the Legislative Committee (Mr. Sterling and Ms. Sellers) to meet as soon as possible.

Presentations by the Public The following members of the public desired to speak:

- Benjamin Cushner - Concerned re. location of No Shooting Zones in the County
- Teresa Groupe - In favor of Oakenwold; frustrated with the process
- Bill Johnson - Habitat for Humanity would benefit the County; working with the Sierra Club re. a zero-waste plan; need to deal with financial crisis at the Landfill; Charlottesville puts stickers on trash bags, residents only pay for trash they dispose of
- Paul Loff - In favor of Oakenwold; only 4 letters to the editor were against the development; Planning Commission and staff recommended approval

Presentations by Members of the Board Board members spoke on the topics as identified:

- Ms. Bohmke - Public Safety Committee update/Fraud issue is #1 concern; Chichester Park grand opening was an excellent event, thanked staff for its hard work; Entrance to the Park is named after former Sheriff Ralph Williams
- Mr. Cavalier - Chichester Park was the best grand opening ceremony; recognized Mr. Robert Dreisdadt and the Stafford Baseball League, wishing everyone much success
- Mr. Milde - Attended R-Board meeting; FAMPO meetings, replace Lloyd Robinson with Andy Walpole as Chairman of FAMPO; attended Duck Unltd., met with the Northern Virginia Conservation Trust
- Ms. Sellers - Deferred
- Mr. Snellings - Deferred
- Mr. Sterling - Finance, Audit, and Budget Committee update; state budget situation and its potential effect on the County; K-12 not cut, hopeful that there will not be any cuts to local services;

discussed the County’s credit rating and hopes to reach AAA rating; added amendment to CIP for full Board vote to approve \$900k to renovate the Brooke Point High School library.

Mr. Thomas - Deferred

Report of the County Attorney Mr. Shumate deferred his report.

Report of the County Administrator Mr. Baroody introduced Mr. Steve Hubble and Mr. Chris Hoppe, who provided transportation and parks updates (respectively). Mr. Snellings asked Mr. Hubble about residents being contacted regarding land acquisition on Truslow Road. Ms. Bohmke asked Mr. Hoppe about steps up to Belmont on the Belmont Ferry Farm Trail. Mr. Hubble and Mr. Hoppe agreed to provide additional information in response to those requests.

Additions/Deletions to the Regular Agenda There were no additions or deletions to the agenda.

Legislative; Consent Agenda Mr. Milde motioned, seconded by Ms. Sellers, to adopt the Consent Agenda, which consisted of Items 5 through 14 omitting Items 7 and 11.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Item 5. Legislative; Approve Minutes of the September 2, 2014 Board Meeting

Item 6. Finance and Budget; Approve Expenditure Listing

Resolution R14-230 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXPENDITURE LISTING (EL)
DATED SEPTEMBER 2, 2014 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 15, 2014

WHEREAS, the Board appropriated funds to be expended for the purchase of goods and services in accordance with an approved budget; and

WHEREAS, the payments appearing on the above-referenced Listing of Expenditures represent payment of \$100,000 and greater for the purchase of goods and/or services which are within the appropriated amounts;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014 that the above-mentioned EL be and hereby is approved.

Item 8. Public Works; Petition VDOT to Include Grouse Pointe Drive and Williamsburg Lane within Grouse Pointe, Section 1; Berea Knolls Drive and Monacan Court within Berea Knolls Subdivision; and Falls Run Drive and South Gateway Drive, into the Secondary System of State Highways

Resolution R14-209 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO PETITION THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO INCLUDE GROUSE POINTE DRIVE AND WILLAMSBURG LANE WITHIN GROUSE POINTE, SECTION 1, INTO THE SECONDARY SYSTEM OF STATE HIGHWAYS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code § 33.1-229, the Board desires to include Grouse Pointe Drive and Williamsburg Lane within Grouse Pointe, Section 1, located on the north side of Heflin road (SR-612), approximately .022 miles west of Tacketts Mill Road, into the Secondary System of State Highways; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) inspected these streets and found them satisfactory to be accepted into the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that VDOT be and it hereby is petitioned to include the following streets within Grouse Pointe, Section 1, into the Secondary System of State Highways:

Street Name/ Route Number	Station	Length
Grouse Pointe Drive (SR-2252)	From: Intersection of Heflin Road (SR-612) To: Intersection of Williamsburg Lane (SR-2251)	0.54 mi. ROW 50'
Williamsburg Lane (SR-2251)	From: 0.24 mi. North of Stafford Manor Way (SR-2250) To: Intersection of Grouse Pointe Drive (SR-2252)	0.05 mi. ROW 50'

An unrestricted right-of-way, as indicated above, for these streets with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage is guaranteed, as evidenced by Plat of Record entitled, Grouse Pointe, Section 1, recorded in PM11000069 with INS110013316 on August 5, 2011; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator or his designee shall forward a copy of this resolution to the developer, and to the VDOT Transportation and Land Use Director, Fredericksburg District.

Resolution R14-210 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO PETITION THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO INCLUDE BEREKA KNOLLS DRIVE AND MONACAN COURT WITHIN BEREKA KNOLLS SUBDIVISION INTO THE SECONDARY SYSTEM OF STATE HIGHWAYS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code § 33.1-229, the Board desires to include Bereka Knolls Drive and Monacan Court within Bereka Knolls Subdivision, into the Secondary System of State Highways; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) inspected these streets and found them satisfactory to be accepted into the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014 that VDOT be and it hereby is petitioned to include the following streets within Bereka Knolls Subdivision, into the Secondary System of State Highways:

Street Name/ Route Number	Station	Length
Bereka Knolls Drive (SR-2087)	From: Intersection of Bereka Church Road (SR-654) To: Intersection of Monacan Drive (SR-2088)	0.05 mi. ROW 53'
Bereka Knolls Drive (SR-2087)	From: Intersection of Monacan Drive (SR-2088) To: West to end of Bereka Knolls Drive (SR-2087)	0.21 mi. ROW 53'
Monacan Drive (SR-2088)	From: Intersection of Bereka Knolls Drive (SR-2087) To: South to end of Monacan Drive (SR-2088)	0.05 mi. ROW 53'

An unrestricted right-of-way, as indicated above, for these streets with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage is guaranteed, as evidenced by Plat of Record entitled, Bereka Knolls, recorded in PM110000104 with INS110018719 on November 4, 2011; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator or his designee shall forward a copy of this resolution to the developer, and to the VDOT Transportation and Land Use Director, Fredericksburg District.

Resolution R14-211 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO PETITION THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION TO INCLUDE FALLS RUN DRIVE AND SOUTH GATEWAY DRIVE INTO THE SECONDARY SYSTEM OF STATE HIGHWAYS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code § 33.1-229, the Board desires to include Falls Run Drive and South Gateway Drive, into the Secondary System of State Highways; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) inspected these streets and found them satisfactory to be accepted into the Secondary System of State Highways;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014 that VDOT be and it hereby is petitioned to include the following streets, into the Secondary System of State Highways:

Street Name/ Route Number	Station	Length
Falls Run Drive (SR-618)	From: Intersection of Nelms Circle (SR-764) To: Intersection of South Gateway Drive (SR-1707)	0.10 mi. ROW 100'
South Gateway Drive (SR-1707)	From: Intersection of Falls Run Drive (SR-618) To: 0.42 mi. South of Falls Run Drive (SR-618)	0.042 mi. ROW 60'

An unrestricted right-of-way, as indicated above, for these streets with necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage is guaranteed, as evidenced by Plat of Record entitled, Right-of-Way Dedication Auction Drive and Various Easements, dated May 10, 2001; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator or his designee shall forward a copy of this resolution to the developer, and to the VDOT Transportation and Land Use Director, Fredericksburg District.

Item 9. Public Works; Request Reimbursement from the Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission (PRTC) for Transportation Expenditures During the Fourth Quarter of FY2014

Resolution R14-221 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION REQUESTING REIMBURSEMENT FROM THE POTOMAC AND RAPPAHANNOCK TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (PRTC) FOR TRANSPORTATION EXPENDITURES DURING THE FOURTH QUARTER OF FY2014

WHEREAS, the County budgeted funds in the FY2014 Transportation Fund for various programs, including financial services, FREDericksburg Regional Transit, the Stafford Regional Airport Authority, street name signs, road improvements, revenue sharing, and enhancement grants; and

WHEREAS, during the fourth quarter of FY2014, the County expended \$1,265,478 in qualifying transportation-related expenses; and

WHEREAS, the County may request that PRTC reimburse the County for these qualifying transportation-related expenses from the County Motor Fuels Tax Fund;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the Potomac and Rappahannock Transportation Commission be and it hereby is requested to reimburse the County One Million Two Hundred Sixty-five Thousand Four Hundred Seventy-eight Dollars (\$1,265,478) from the County Motor Fuels Tax Fund; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator or his designee shall provide a copy of this Resolution to PRTC.

Item 10. Public Works; Authorize The County Administrator to Execute an Agreement with Verizon and NOVEC for Utility Line Relocation Services, Mountain View Road Safety Improvements Project, Phase II

Resolution R14-219 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH VERIZON FOR PAYMENT OF UTILITY LINE RELOCATION SERVICES FOR THE MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT, PHASE II

WHEREAS, the Board identified the completion of improvements on Mountain View Road, from Rose Hill Farm Drive to Pickett Lane (Project), as a critical part of Stafford County's road improvement plan; and

WHEREAS, a Verizon utility line must be relocated in order to proceed with the road improvement project; and

WHEREAS, 91% of the Verizon utility line is outside of the Mountain View Road right-of-way and relocation of the line does not constitute a betterment; and

WHEREAS, the County will bear the cost of the relocation services for all lines and facilities outside of the road right-of-way, and for which relocation is not a betterment; and

WHEREAS, Verizon requires an agreement and payment for the utility line relocation services for the Project to proceed; and

WHEREAS, Verizon estimates that the County's cost for the relocation of the utility line services at One Hundred Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-seven Dollars (\$100,697); and

WHEREAS, the funds to cover the relocation of the utility line services are included in the Project's budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the Board be and it hereby does authorize the County Administrator to execute an agreement with Verizon for the payment of utility line relocation services in an amount not to exceed One Hundred Thousand Six Hundred Ninety-seven Dollars (\$100,697), unless amended by a duly-executed contract amendment.

Resolution R14-220 reads as follows;

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH NORTHERN VIRGINIA ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE FOR PAYMENT OF UTILITY LINE RELOCATION SERVICES FOR THE MOUNTAIN VIEW ROAD SAFETY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT, PHASE II

WHEREAS, the Board identified the completion of improvements on Mountain View Road, from Rose Hill Farm Drive to Pickett Lane (Project), as a critical part of Stafford County's road improvement plan; and

WHEREAS, a Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative (NOVEC) utility line must be relocated in order to proceed with the road improvement project; and

WHEREAS, the NOVEC utility easement is outside of the Mountain View right-of-way and relocation of the line does not constitute a betterment; and

WHEREAS, the County will bear the cost of the relocation services for all lines and facilities outside of the road right-of-way and for which relocation is not a betterment; and

WHEREAS, NOVEC requires an agreement and payment for the utility line relocation services for the Project to proceed; and

WHEREAS, NOVEC estimates that the County's cost for the relocation of the utility line services at Two Hundred Thirty-five Thousand Two Hundred Sixty-nine Dollars (\$235,269); and

WHEREAS, the funds to cover the relocation of the utility line services are included in the Project's budget;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the Board be and it hereby does authorize payment to NOVEC for utility line relocation services in an amount not to exceed Two Hundred Thirty-five Thousand Two Hundred Sixty-nine Dollars (\$235,269), unless amended by a duly-executed contract amendment.

Item 12. Utilities; Authorize the County Administrator to Execute a Contract for Enon Road Water Line Improvement Project

Resolution R14-224 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH W.C. SPRATT, INC., FOR
WATER LINE IMPROVEMENTS ALONG ENON ROAD

WHEREAS, the Board included funds in the adopted Utilities Department's FY2015 Capital Improvement Projects budget, and appropriated funds for water line improvements along Enon Road; and

WHEREAS, the water line improvements along Enon Road will supply water from the Rocky Pen Run Water Treatment Plant to customers in the southern and eastern service areas of the County until permanent transmission mains are constructed; and

WHEREAS, the County solicited public bids for the project; and

WHEREAS, two bids were received, and staff determined that the bid submitted by W.C. Spratt, Inc., in the amount of \$159,900, is the lowest responsive and responsible bid; and

WHEREAS, staff determined that W. C. Spratt's bid is reasonable for the scope of services proposed;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the County Administrator be and he hereby is authorized to execute a contract with W. C. Spratt, Inc., for the construction of the Enon Road water line improvements, in an amount not to exceed One Hundred Fifty-nine Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars (\$159,900), unless amended by a duly-approved change order.

Item 13. Utilities; Authorize the County Administrator to Execute a Contract for Generator Replacement at Smith Lake Water Treatment Plant

Resolution R14-228 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH CARTER MACHINERY TO
PURCHASE A REPLACEMENT GENERATOR FOR THE WATER
BOOSTER PUMP STATION AT THE SMITH LAKE WATER
TREATMENT FACILITY

WHEREAS, the water booster pump station at the Smith Lake Water Treatment Facility (Facility) distributes water from the Facility to the water tanks in the northern area of the County; and

WHEREAS, during power outages, an emergency generator supplies power to the water booster pump station to allow for continued service to the water distribution system; and

WHEREAS, the existing emergency generator is more than 30 years old and suffered a catastrophic failure, and is beyond repair because replacement parts are no longer available; and

WHEREAS, the County obtained a proposal from Carter Machinery through the National Joint Power Alliance (NJPA), which provides competitive contract pricing that meets the County’s procurement standards as a cooperative procurement; and

WHEREAS, staff determined that the price proposed by Carter Machinery is reasonable for the necessary equipment; and

WHEREAS, funds are available in the FY15 budget for the purchase of this equipment;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the County Administrator be and he hereby is authorized to execute a contract with Carter Machinery for the purchase of generators for the water booster pump station at the Smith Lake Water Treatment Facility, in an amount not to exceed Two Hundred Sixty-five Thousand Five Hundred Ninety-eight Dollars (\$265,598), unless amended by a duly-approved contract amendment.

Item 14. County Attorney; Authorize the County Administrator and County Attorney to Execute the Final Order, Settlement Agreement, and Other Documents Related to the State’s Condemnation of a County Easement for Staffordboro Commuter Lot Improvements

Resolution R14-231 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR AND THE COUNTY ATTORNEY TO EXECUTE THE FINAL ORDER, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE STATE’S ACQUISITION OF A COUNTY EASEMENT FOR THE STAFFORDBORO COMMUTER LOT IMPROVEMENTS, ON TAX MAP PARCEL NO. 21-65K, IN THE GRIFFIS-WIDEWATER ELECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the County has a utility easement on Tax Map Parcel No. 21-65K, located in the Griffis-Widewater Election District; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Highways for the Commonwealth of Virginia acquired the County’s easement related to the Staffordboro commuter lot improvements, Case No. CL13-615; and

WHEREAS, the Board carefully considered the recommendations of staff; and

WHEREAS, the Board supports the improvements to the Staffordboro commuter lot; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires the County Administrator and the County Attorney to execute the final order, settlement agreement, and other documents related to the acquisition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the County Administrator and County Attorney (or their designees) be and they hereby are authorized to execute the final order, settlement agreement, and/or any other documents that they deem necessary and/or appropriate to: (i) resolve the action filed by the Commissioner of Highways for the Commonwealth of Virginia, Case No. CL13-615; and (ii) receive any and all compensation due to the County related to this acquisition.

Item 7. Finance and Budget; Approve Revised VPSA Schools Fall Bond Resolution Mr. Milde asked that the item be pulled from the Consent Agenda because it included \$30 million for Stafford High School, to which he objected and would not vote in favor of.

Mr. Sterling motioned, seconded by Ms. Bohmke, to adopt proposed Resolution R14-229.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (6) Bohmke, Cavalier, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (1) Milde

Resolution R14-229 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF NOT TO EXCEED \$17,175,000 GENERAL OBLIGATION SCHOOL BOND OF THE COUNTY OF STAFFORD, VIRGINIA, TO BE SOLD TO THE VIRGINIA PUBLIC SCHOOL AUTHORITY AND PROVIDING FOR THE FORM AND DETAILS THEREOF

WHEREAS, on June 18, 2013, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of the County of Stafford, Virginia (the "County") held a public hearing, duly noticed, on the issuance of the County's general obligation school bonds in accordance with the requirements of Section 15.2-2606, Code of Virginia 1950, as amended (the "Virginia Code") and adopted a resolution (the "2013 Resolution"), identified as Resolution R13-166, approving the issuance and sale of general obligation school bonds in an amount not to exceed \$52,648,000 for the purpose of financing certain projects more particularly specified therein (the "2013 Projects"), subject to the adoption of a subsequent resolution authorizing and setting forth the terms of such bonds; and

WHEREAS, on October 1, 2013, the Board adopted a resolution (the "Bond Resolution"), identified as Resolution R13-311, authorizing and setting forth the terms of the issuance and sale of a portion of the County's general obligation school bonds authorized in the 2013 Resolution and the Bond Resolution authorized the sale of such bonds, in one or more series, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$30,960,000 for the purpose of financing the 2013 Projects; and

WHEREAS, on April 28, 2014, the Board held a public hearing, duly noticed, on the issuance of the County's general obligation school bonds in accordance with the requirements of the Virginia Code and adopted a resolution (the "2014 Resolution"), identified as Resolution R14-79, approving the issuance and sale of general obligation school bonds in an amount not to exceed \$7,300,000 for the purpose of financing certain projects more particularly specified therein (the "2014 Projects" and, together with the 2013 Projects, the "Projects"), subject to the adoption of a subsequent resolution authorizing and setting forth the terms of such bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County has previously issued its general obligation school bonds pursuant to the 2013 Resolution and the Bond Resolution in the aggregate principal amount of \$28,955,000; and

WHEREAS, the principal amount of general obligation school bond approved and unissued under the Projects is \$30,993,000 ("Unissued Authorization"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has now determined that it is necessary and expedient to borrow an amount not to exceed \$17,175,000 and to issue pursuant to a portion of the Unissued Authorization its general obligation school bond (as more specifically defined below, the "Local School Bond") for the purpose of financing the Projects, and to authorize and set forth the details thereof, as required by the Projects; and

WHEREAS, the School Board of the County has, by resolution, requested the Board to authorize the issuance of the Local School Bond and consented to the issuance of the Local School Bond; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Public School Authority ("VPSA") has offered to purchase the Local School Bond along with the local school bonds of certain other localities with a portion of the proceeds of certain bonds to be issued by VPSA in the fall of 2014 (the "VPSA Bonds"); and

WHEREAS, the Bond Sale Agreement (as defined below) shall indicate that \$17,175,000 is the amount of proceeds requested by the County (the "Proceeds Requested") from VPSA in connection with the sale of the Local School Bond; and

WHEREAS, VPSA's objective is to pay the County a purchase price for the Local School Bond which, in VPSA's judgment, reflects the Local School Bond's market value (the "VPSA Purchase Price Objective"), taking into consideration such factors as the amortization schedule the County has requested for the Local School Bond relative to the amortization schedules requested by other localities, the purchase price to be received by VPSA from the sale of the VPSA Bonds, and other market conditions relating to the sale of the VPSA Bonds; and

WHEREAS, such factors may result in requiring the County to accept a discount, given the VPSA Purchase Price Objective and market conditions, under which circumstances the proceeds from the sale of the Local School Bond received by the County will be less than the Proceeds Requested since the maximum authorized principal amount of the Local School Bond set forth in paragraph 1 below does not exceed the Proceeds Requested;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STAFFORD, VIRGINIA:

Authorization of Local School Bond and Use of Proceeds. The Board hereby determines that it is advisable to contract a debt and issue and sell its general obligation school bond in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$17,175,000 (the "Local School Bond") for the purpose of financing the Projects. The Board hereby authorizes the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond in the form and upon the terms established pursuant to this Resolution.

Sale of the Local School Bond. The sale of the Local School Bond, within the parameters set forth in paragraph 4 of this Resolution, to VPSA is authorized. Given the VPSA Purchase Price Objective and market conditions, the County acknowledges that the limitation on the maximum principal amount on the Local School Bond set forth in paragraph 1 of this Resolution restricts VPSA's ability to generate the Proceeds Requested, however, the Local School Bond may be sold for a purchase price not lower than 95% of the Proceeds Requested. The Chairman of the Board, the County Administrator, or either of them (each a "Delegate"), and such other officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to enter into an agreement with VPSA providing for the sale of the Local School Bond to VPSA (the "Bond Sale Agreement"). The Bond Sale Agreement shall be in substantially the form submitted to the Board at this meeting, which form is hereby approved.

Details of the Local School Bond. The Local School Bond shall be dated 16 days prior to the date of its issuance and delivery or such other date designated by VPSA; shall be designated "General Obligation School Bond, Series 2014B"; shall bear interest from its dated date payable semi-annually on each January 15 and July 15 beginning July 15, 2015 (each an "Interest Payment Date"), at the rates established in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Resolution; and shall mature on July 15 in the years (each a "Principal Payment Date") and in the amounts acceptable to a Delegate (the "Principal Installments"), subject to the provisions of paragraph 4 of this Resolution.

Interest Rates and Principal Installments. Each Delegate is hereby authorized and directed to accept the interest rates on the Local School Bond established by VPSA, provided that each interest rate shall be five one-hundredths of one percent (0.05%) over the interest rate to be paid by VPSA for the corresponding principal payment date of the VPSA Bonds, a portion of the proceeds of which will be used to purchase the Local School Bond, and provided further that the true interest cost of the Local School Bond does not exceed five and fifty one-hundredths percent (5.50%) per annum. The Interest Payment Dates and the Principal Installments are subject to change at the request of VPSA. Each Delegate is hereby authorized and directed to accept changes in the Interest Payment Dates and the Principal Installments at the request of VPSA based on the final term to maturity of the VPSA Bonds, requirements imposed on VPSA by the nationally-recognized rating agencies and the final principal amount of the Local School Bond; provided, however, that the principal amount of the Local School Bond shall not exceed the amount authorized by this Resolution and the final maturity of the Local School Bond shall not exceed 26 years from the date of the issuance and delivery of the Local School Bond. The execution and delivery of the Local School Bond as described in paragraph 8 hereof shall conclusively evidence the

approval and acceptance of all of the details of the Local School Bond by the Delegate as authorized by this Resolution.

Form of the Local School Bond. The Local School Bond shall be initially in the form of a single, temporary typewritten bond substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Payment; Paying Agent and Bond Registrar. The following provisions shall apply to the Local School Bond:

For as long as VPSA is the registered owner of the Local School Bond, all payments of principal, premium, if any, and interest on the Local School Bond shall be made in immediately available funds to VPSA at, or before 11:00 a.m. on the applicable Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption, or if such date is not a business day for Virginia banks or for the Commonwealth of Virginia, then at or before 11:00 a.m. on the business day next succeeding such Interest Payment Date, Principal Payment Date or date fixed for prepayment or redemption.

All overdue payments of principal and, to the extent permitted by law, interest shall bear interest at the applicable interest rate or rates on the Local School Bond.

U.S. Bank National Association, Richmond, Virginia, is designated as Bond Registrar and Paying Agent for the Local School Bond.

Prepayment or Redemption. The Principal Installments of the Local School Bond held by VPSA coming due on or before July 15, 2024, and the definitive bond for which the Local School Bond held by VPSA may be exchanged that mature on or before July 15, 2024, are not subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities. The Principal Installments of the Local School Bond held by VPSA coming due on or after July 15, 2025, and the definitive bond(s) for which the Local School Bond held by VPSA may be exchanged that mature on or after July 15, 2025, are subject to prepayment or redemption at the option of the County prior to their stated maturities in whole or in part, on any date on or after July 15, 2024, upon payment of the prepayment or redemption prices (expressed as percentages of Principal Installments to be prepaid or the principal amount of the Local School Bond to be redeemed) set forth below plus accrued interest to the date set for prepayment or redemption:

<u>Dates</u>	<u>Prices</u>
July 15, 2024 through July 14, 2025	101%
July 15, 2025 through July 14, 2026	100½
July 15, 2026 and thereafter	100

Provided, however, that the Local School Bond shall not be subject to prepayment or redemption prior to their stated maturities as described above without first obtaining the written consent of VPSA or other registered owner of the Local School Bond. Notice of any such prepayment or redemption shall be given by the Bond Registrar to VPSA or

other registered owner by registered mail not more than 90 and not less than 60 days before the date fixed for prepayment or redemption.

If VPSA refunds the VPSA Bonds in the future and such refunding causes the Local School Bond to be deemed refunded, the prepayment or redemption of the Local School Bond will be subject to VPSA approval and subject to similar prepayment or redemption provisions as set forth above that correspond to the call period of the VPSA bonds issued in part to refund the Local School Bond.

Execution of the Local School Bond. The Chairman or Vice Chairman and the Clerk or any Deputy Clerk of the Board are authorized and directed to execute and deliver the Local School Bond and to affix the seal of the County thereto.

Pledge of Full Faith and Credit. For the prompt payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and the interest on the Local School Bond as the same shall become due, the full faith and credit of the County are hereby irrevocably pledged, and in each year while any portion of the Local School Bond shall be outstanding there shall be levied and collected in accordance with law an annual ad valorem tax upon all taxable property in the County subject to local taxation sufficient in amount to provide for the payment of the principal of and premium, if any, and the interest on the Local School Bond as such principal, premium, if any, and interest shall become due, which tax shall be without limitation as to rate or amount and in addition to all other taxes authorized to be levied in the County to the extent other funds of the County are not lawfully available and appropriated for such purpose.

Use of Proceeds Certificate and Tax Compliance Agreement. The Chairman of the Board, the County Administrator, and such other officer or officers of the County or the School Board as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver on behalf of the County a Use of Proceeds Certificate and Tax Compliance Agreement (the "Tax Compliance Agreement") setting forth the expected use and investment of the proceeds of the Local School Bond and containing such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), and applicable regulations relating to the exclusion from gross income of interest on the VPSA Bonds. The Board covenants on behalf of the County that (i) the proceeds from the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond will be invested and expended as set forth in such Tax Compliance Agreement and that the County shall comply with the other covenants and representations contained therein, and (ii) the County shall comply with the provisions of the Code so that interest on the VPSA Bonds will remain excludable from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

State Non-Arbitrage Program; Proceeds Agreement. The Board hereby determines that it is in the best interests of the County to authorize and direct the County Treasurer to participate in the State Non-Arbitrage Program in connection with the Local School Bond. The Chairman of the Board, the County Administrator, and such officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute and deliver a Proceeds Agreement with respect to the deposit and investment of proceeds of the Local School Bond by and among the County, the other participants in the sale of the VPSA Bonds, VPSA, the investment manager, and the depository, substantially in the form submitted to the Board at this meeting, which form is hereby approved.

Continuing Disclosure Agreement. The Chairman of the Board, the County Administrator, and such other officer or officers of the County as either may designate are hereby authorized and directed to execute a Continuing Disclosure Agreement, as set forth in Appendix D to the Bond Sale Agreement, setting forth the reports and notices to be filed by the County and containing such covenants as may be necessary in order to show compliance with the provisions of the Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12, under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and directed to make all filings required by Section 3 of the Bond Sale Agreement should the County be determined by VPSA to be a MOP (as defined in the Bond Sale Agreement).

Refunding. The Board hereby acknowledges that VPSA may issue refunding bonds to refund any bonds previously issued by VPSA, including the VPSA Bonds issued to purchase the Local School Bond, and that the purpose of such refunding bonds would be to enable VPSA to pass on annual debt service savings to the local issuers, including the County. Each of the Delegates is authorized to execute and deliver to VPSA such allonge to the Local School Bond, revised debt service schedule, IRS Form 8038-G, or such other documents reasonably deemed necessary by VPSA and VPSA's bond counsel to be necessary to reflect and facilitate the refunding of the Local School Bond and the allocation of the annual debt service savings to the County by VPSA. The Clerk to the Board is authorized to affix the County's seal on any such documents and attest or countersign the same.

Filing of Resolution. The appropriate officers or agents of the County are hereby authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this Resolution to be filed with the Circuit Court of the County.

Election to Proceed under Public Finance Act. In accordance with Section 15.2-2601 of the Virginia Code, the Board elects to issue the Local School Bond pursuant to the provisions of the Public Finance Act of 1991, Chapter 26 of Title 15.2 of the Virginia Code.

Further Actions. The members of the Board and all officers, employees and agents of the County are hereby authorized to take such action as they or any one of them may consider necessary or desirable in connection with the issuance and sale of the Local School Bond and otherwise in furtherance of this Resolution and any such action previously taken is hereby ratified and confirmed.

Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

* * *

The undersigned Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stafford, Virginia, hereby certifies that the foregoing constitutes a true and correct extract from the minutes of a meeting of the Board of Supervisors held on September 16, 2014, and of the whole thereof so far as applicable to the matters referred to in such extract. I hereby further certify that such meeting was a regularly scheduled meeting and that, during the consideration of the foregoing resolution, a quorum was present. Members present at the meeting were: Jack R. Cavalier, Chairman; Gary F. Snellings, Vice Chairman; Meg Bohmke, Paul V. Milde, III; Laura A. Sellers; Cord A. Sterling; and Robert "Bob" Thomas, Jr. No members were absent from the meeting. Members voting in favor of the foregoing resolution were: Jack R. Cavalier, Chairman; Gary F. Snellings, Vice

Chairman; Meg Bohmke, Laura A. Sellers; Cord A. Sterling; and Robert “Bob” Thomas, Jr. Members voting against the foregoing resolution were: Paul V. Milde, III. No members abstained from voting on the foregoing resolution.

WITNESS MY HAND and the seal of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stafford, Virginia, this 16th day of September, 2014.

Item 11. Planning and Zoning; Authorize the County Administrator to Advertise a Public Hearing on “No Shooting Zones” Mr. Milde spoke about hunting at Jumping Branch Farm last year, and that it was now included in the “no shooting zones” on the display map, as well as other large tracts of land on which hunting should be allowed. Mr. Sterling noted that the Board could approve anything on the map or less, but nothing more once the public hearing was advertised and the map publicized. He added that the public hearing was to give citizens time to comment on the proposal.

Mr. Snellings motioned, seconded by Mr. Milde, to defer a vote to authorize a public hearing until such time as Sheriff Jett could weigh in on the proposed “no shooting zones”.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas

Nay: (0)

Planning and Zoning; Consider Reclassification on Assessor’s Parcel 37-80 from A-1, Agricultural to P-TND, Planned Traditional Neighborhood Development (Oakenwold) Mr. Snellings clarified with the County Attorney that there were two votes required, one for reclassification and one for deviation.

Mr. Sterling said that he would vote to deny Oakenwold; that his vote had nothing to do with the Airport and its attempt to assert domain. He felt that there were too many housing units v. commercial.

Ms. Sellers said that she was going to vote in support of Oakenwold. She felt that a lot of work went into the application and that many of staff’s concerns were addressed and she wished to move forward. Ms. Sellers added that she wished there was more retail and less housing but that she would vote in the affirmative.

Ms. Bohmke said that she heard and read all of the Airport Authority’s objections, as well as having received several e-mails from constituents, mostly not in favor of the development. Mr. Sterling said he received several e-mails, mostly in favor of

Oakenwold, and those opposed did not do so because of Airport issues. Mr. Milde said that most of his e-mail correspondence was not in favor of the development.

Mr. Snellings motioned, seconded by Mr. Milde, to adopt proposed Resolution R14-84.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (5) Bohmke, Milde, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (1) Sellers
Abstain: (1) Cavalier

Resolution R14-84 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO DENY AN APPLICATION TO AMEND AND REORDAIN THE STAFFORD COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE BY AMENDING THE ZONING DISTRICT MAP TO RECLASSIFY FROM A-1, AGRICULTURAL ZONING DISTRICT, TO P-TND, PLANNED-TRADITIONAL NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT ZONING DISTRICT, FOR ASSESSOR'S PARCEL 37-80, LOCATED WITHIN THE HARTWOOD ELECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, IVI Strategies, LLC, applicant, submitted Application RC1300324 requesting a reclassification from the A-1, Agricultural Zoning District to the P-TND, Planned-Traditional Neighborhood Development Zoning District, for Assessor's Parcel 37-80, located within the Hartwood Election District; and

WHEREAS, the Board carefully considered the recommendations of the Planning Commission and staff, and the testimony, if any, received at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the requested zoning is incompatible with the surrounding land uses and zoning;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that application RC1300324 be and it hereby is denied.

Mr. Snellings motioned, seconded by Mr. Milde, to adopt proposed Resolution R14-206.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (5) Bohmke, Milde, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (1) Sellers
Abstain: (1) Cavalier

Resolution R14-206 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO DENY A REQUEST TO DEVIATE FROM STAFFORD COUNTY CODE SEC. 28-39(Q)(211), TABLE 3.5(A), “ALLOCATION OF TRANSECT ZONES,” AND SEC. 28-39(q)(21), TABLE 3.5(d), “SETBACKS, MAIN BUILDING,” FOR THE OAKENWOLD DEVELOPMENT, FOR ASSESSOR’S PARCEL 37-80, LOCATED WITHIN THE HARTWOOD ELECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, IVI Strategies, LLC, applicant, submitted Application RC1300324 requesting a reclassification from the A-1, Agricultural Zoning District, to the P-TND, Planned – Traditional Neighborhood Development Zoning District, for Assessor’s Parcel 37-80, located within the Hartwood Election District; and

WHEREAS, Stafford County Code Sec. 28-39(q)(5)a, allows for the deviation or modification from the density and intensity tables pertaining to regulations for transect zones in the P-TND zoning district, provided the request for the deviation or modification is approved by the Board of Supervisors with the reclassification approval from the P-TND zoning district; and

WHEREAS, the applicant submitted a request for a deviation from (i) Sec. 28-39(q)(21), Table 3.5(a), to reduce the required amount of non-residential area in the T-4 transect zone from 20% to 7% and, (ii) Sec. 28-39(q)(21), Table 3.5(d), to increase the front yard setbacks residential buildings in the T-4 zone from 18 feet to 26 feet and decrease the minimum front yard requirement from 6 feet to 0 feet; and

WHEREAS, the Board determined that the request is inappropriate;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the Board be and it hereby does deny the request to deviate from Stafford County Code Sec. 28-39(q)(21), Table 3.5(a), and Sec. 28-39(q)(21), Table 3.5(d), for the Oakenwold Development, for Assessor’s Parcel 37-80.

County Administration; Discuss Request for Information for Public Access Programming Being Considered by the Telecommunications Commission (TCC) Ms. Cathy Vollbrecht, Director of Communications, addressed the Board. Ms. Vollbrecht said that the Telecommunications Commission was scheduled to meet on September 22, 2014, and would hear presentations from both respondents to the Request for Information on the County’s public access channel. She added that no date was set for the TCC’s report to the Board on its recommendation.

Mr. Milde said that a decision should be made sooner rather than later. Mr. Thomas asked if the Board would have an opportunity to look at the recommendation, and if all information would be brought back to the Board for its vote. Ms. Vollbrecht confirmed that the Board would give final approval on any decision regarding the County’s public access channel.

Mr. Milde asked that the Board set a time limit in which to make a decision. Mr. Thomas said that it was difficult for the TCC to get a quorum but that he hoped to have a recommendation by the end of October. Mr. Cavalier suggested the possibility of bypassing the TCC and having a decision come directly from the Board. Mr. Thomas said that the TCC had three years invested. He suggested a 60-day turnaround.

Mr. Milde motioned, seconded by Mr. Thomas, to require that the TCC provide a recommendation on a public access channel provider within 60 days of September 16th.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Legislative; Closed Meeting. At 4:23 p.m., Mr. Thomas motioned, seconded by Mr. Milde, to adopt proposed Resolution CM14-18.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Resolution CM14-18 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the Board desires to hold a Closed Meeting for (1) consultation with legal counsel regarding three plat vacation requests for Crow's Nest Harbour; and (2) discussion of the award of a contract for the operation of the Embrey Mill indoor recreational facility, involving the expenditure of County funds, where discussion in open session would adversely affect the County's bargaining and/or negotiating strategy; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code §§ 2.2-3711(A)(7) and (A)(29), such discussions may occur in Closed Meeting;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, does hereby authorize discussion of the aforesated matters in Closed Meeting.

Call to Order At 5:27 p.m., the Chairman called the meeting back to order.

Legislative; Closed Meeting Certification Mr. Thomas motioned, seconded by Ms. Sellers, to adopt proposed Resolution CM14-18(a).

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Resolution CM14-18(a) reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY THE ACTIONS OF THE STAFFORD COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN A CLOSED MEETING ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2014

WHEREAS, the Board has, on this the 16th day of September, 2014, adjourned into a Closed Meeting in accordance with a formal vote of the Board and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, as it became effective July 1, 1989, provides for certification that such Closed Meeting was conducted in conformity with law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Stafford County Board of Supervisors does hereby certify, on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that to the best of each member's knowledge: (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Virginia Freedom of Information Act were discussed in the Closed Meeting to which this certification applies; and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the Motion by which the said Closed Meeting was convened were heard, discussed, or considered by the Board.

Mr. Sterling motioned, seconded by Mr. Thomas, to cancel Item 21, Planning and Zoning; Public Hearing to Consider Three Plat Vacation Requests for Crow's Nest Harbour. Mr. Milde said that he was going to vote against the public hearing cancellation, that the Board should take up the matter and deny the requests.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (5) Cavalier, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (2) Bohmke, Milde

At 5:28 p.m., Mr. Cavalier recessed the meeting.

Call to Order At 7:01 p.m., the Chairman called the meeting back to order.

Invocation Mr. Milde gave the invocation.

Pledge of Allegiance Mr. Sterling led the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

Presentations by the Public - The following members of the public desired to speak:

Donald Patterson, Jr. - Skate Park at St. Claire Brooks Park
Dean Fetterolf - Oakenwold; Colonial Forge HS enrollment projections;
George Washington Village; Crow's Nest (bad investments)

Paul Waldowski - Buffalo Bills/Super Bowl; God is responsible for tree tops, not roof tops; Not a representative government; 1 Board meeting in November and December; Legislative agenda; Planning Commissioners should not be on the Board of Zoning Appeals (conflict of interest); Planning Commissioners should live in the district they represent

Planning and Zoning; Consider Amending Proffer Conditions on 3.18 Acres Zoned B-2, U.S. Route 1 and Coachman Circle (Medical Office) Mr. Mike Zuraf, Principal Planner, gave a presentation and answered Board members questions about the proposed medical offices at the Hills of Aquia/Generations of Women.

Mr. Milde asked about vacating the open space designation on the parcel. Mr. Zuraf explained that it was still owned by the original developer. Mr. Harvey said that the note was put on the plat in error by the surveyors.

Mr. Jervis Hairston, for the applicant (Silver Cos.), addressed the Board saying that Silver Cos. tried unsuccessfully to find tenants for a multi-tenant building at the proposed location and that Dr. Mercado was working with Silver Cos. on the project.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.

The following persons desired to speak:

Paul Waldowski

Dean Fetterolf

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Mr. Milde noted that in the vicinity of the proposed building, there were car dealers and hotels next to and directly across the street, adding that it was a perfect location and a success story for commercial development in the County.

Mr. Milde motioned, seconded by Mr. Sterling, to adopt proposed Ordinance O14-32.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas

Nay: (0)

Ordinance O14-32 reads as follows:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REORDAIN THE STAFFORD COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE BY AMENDING THE PROFFERED CONDITIONS ON ASSESSOR'S PARCEL 21-50B, ZONED B-2, URBAN COMMERCIAL, WITHIN THE AQUIA ELECTION DISTRICT

WHEREAS, Aquia Commercial, LLC, applicant, submitted application RC1400225 requesting an amendment to proffered conditions on Assessor's Parcel 21-50B, consisting of 3.18 acres, zoned B-2, Urban Commercial, within the Aquia Election District; and

WHEREAS, Assessor's Parcel 21-50B is subject to proffered conditions pursuant to a stipulated final court order, dated February 12, 2010; and

WHEREAS, the Board carefully considered the recommendations of the Planning Commission and staff, and the testimony, if any, at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board determined that the requested amendments to proffered conditions are compatible with the surrounding land uses and zoning; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that public necessity, convenience, general welfare, and good zoning practice require adoption of an ordinance to amend the proffered conditions on the subject properties;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that Stafford County Zoning Ordinance be and it hereby is amended and reordained by amending the proffered conditions on Assessor's Parcel 21-50B consisting of 3.18 acres, zoned B-2, Urban Commercial, as specified in the proffer statement entitled, "Proffer Statement (As Revised)," dated July 31, 2014.

Public Works; Consider Amending and Reordaining County Code Sec. 15-4.1 Maximum Speed Limits in Certain Residence Districts; Penalty on Edwards Drive in Grafton Village Subdivision Mr. Steve Hubble, Public Works, gave a presentation and answered Board members questions. Ms. Sellers asked if it had to be presented to VDOT. Mr. Hubble clarified that following Board approval, it would go to VDOT. Ms. Bohmke said that she spoke with residents in the Grafton Village subdivision that were very much in favor of speed restrictions, adding that some residents had trouble getting to mail boxes due to motorists speeding on Edwards Drive. Mr. Thomas said that it was a "no-brainer;" that some of the houses were located in his district and speed restrictions were very much needed.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.
No persons desired to speak.
The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Ms. Bohmke motioned, seconded by Mr. Thomas, to adopt proposed Ordinance O14-29.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Ordinance O14-29 reads as follows:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REORDAIN STAFFORD COUNTY CODE SEC. 15-4.1, “MAXIMUM SPEED LIMITS IN CERTAIN RESIDENCE DISTRICTS; PENALTY”

WHEREAS, Virginia Code § 46.2-878.2 authorizes a \$200 fine for persons exceeding the maximum speed limit in designated residence districts; and

WHEREAS, on May 6, 1997, the Board adopted Ordinance O97-29, which established criteria for the establishment of residence districts where an additional fine could be levied; and

WHEREAS, the County received a request from a resident of the Grafton Village subdivision concerned with the high volume of vehicles speeding within their subdivision; and

WHEREAS, Edwards Drive, in the Grafton Village subdivision, meets the definition of a residential local road; and

WHEREAS, Edwards Drive, in the Grafton Village subdivision, has a posted speed limit of 25 miles per hour (MPH); and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works observed the site and documented a vehicular traffic volume of greater than 600 vehicles per day on Edwards Drive, in the Grafton Village subdivision; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works observed the site and documented speeding problems with an 85th percentile speed of 10 MPH or more over the posted speed limit and the average speed of traffic being at least 5 MPH over the posted speed limit on Edwards Drive, in the Grafton Village subdivision; and

WHEREAS, the County received a petition signed by 54% of the residents from the Grafton Village subdivision supporting the establishment of a Residence District within their subdivision; and

WHEREAS, Edwards Drive, in the Grafton Village subdivision, meets the established criteria based on the current Residential Traffic Management Plan, Residence District-Additional \$200 Fine Sign Program; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Virginia Code § 15.2-1427, the Board conducted a public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board considered the recommendations of staff, and the testimony, if any, at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the adoption of this ordinance secures and promotes public health, safety, and welfare, including the prevention of accidents and injuries caused by speeding vehicles in designated residence districts;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that Stafford County Code Sec. 15-4.1 “Maximum speed limits in certain residence districts; penalty,” be and it hereby is amended and reordained as follows, all other portions remaining unchanged:

Sec. 15-4.1 Maximum speed limits in certain residence districts; penalty.

(c) The following areas are hereby designated as a residence districts:

(51) Edwards Drive (SR-1055) between Julie Lane (SR-1907) and Deacon Road (SR-607).

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) is requested to designate Edwards Drive (SR-1055), between Julie Lane (SR-1907) and Deacon Road (SR-607), as a residence district for maximum speed limit fines; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that VDOT is requested to install the appropriate signs displaying the maximum speed limit and the penalty for violations on Edwards Drive (SR-1055) between Julie Lane (SR-1907) and Deacon Road (SR-607); and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that the County Administrator or his designee shall provide a certified copy of this ordinance to the VDOT District Administrator; and

BE IT STILL FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance becomes effective upon adoption.

Public Works; Convey Easements and Right-of-Way on County-Owned Property for the Courthouse Road Widening Project Mr. Steve Hubble of Public Works, gave a presentation on the Courthouse Road widening project.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.

No persons desired to speak.

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Mr. Snellings motioned, seconded by Mr. Sterling, to adopt proposed Resolution R14-176.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas
Nay: (0)

Resolution R14-176 reads as follows:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CONVEYANCE OF COUNTY-OWNED PROPERTY TO VDOT FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND THE GRANTING OF EASEMENTS TO VDOT FOR A JOINT UTILITY EASEMENT WITH DOMINION VIRGINIA POWER, AND TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION AND ENTRANCE EASEMENTS, FOR THE COURTHOUSE ROAD WIDENING PROJECT

WHEREAS, the Board identified the completion of road improvements on Courthouse Road between Cedar Lane and Winding Creek Road as a critical part of Stafford County’s road improvement plan; and

WHEREAS, these improvements were included in the 2008 Transportation Bond Referendum; and

WHEREAS, the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) is administering this project and has begun property acquisition; and

WHEREAS, the County owns Tax Map Parcel No. 29-28, portions of which are required for right-of-way and easements for this project; and

WHEREAS, the property required is 14,593 square feet of right-of-way for VDOT; 5,097 square feet for a joint VDOT utility easement with Dominion Virginia Power; 1,917 square feet of temporary construction easement; and 3,267 square feet of temporary entrance easement; and

WHEREAS, VDOT offered Twenty-six Thousand Eight Hundred Thirty-four Dollars (\$26,834) for the right-of-way and easements, and impacts to existing improvements including a wooden fence, a gravel drive, metal farm gates, and property pin placements on the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board conducted a public hearing and carefully considered the recommendations of staff and the testimony, if any, at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires to convey the right-of-way and easements for this project;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that the Board be and it hereby does authorize the conveyance of portions of Tax Map Parcel No. 29-28 to the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) in the following amount: 14,593 square feet of right-of-way for VDOT; 5,097 square feet of VDOT joint utility easement with Dominion

Virginia Power; 1,917 square feet of temporary construction easement; and 3,267 square feet of temporary entrance easement; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board does authorize the granting of easements on portions of Tax Map Parcel No. 29-28 to VDOT in the following amounts:

Category	Description	Link	Units	Size	Value
Land	Fee Simple Interest	\$1.54	Sq ft	14,593	\$22,473.00
Land	VDOT JUE & Dominion Power	\$1.54 x 30%	Sq ft	5,097	\$ 2,355.00
Land	Temp Construction Easement	\$1.54 x 5%	Sq ft	1,917	\$148.00
Land	Temp Construction Entrance	\$1.54 x 5%	Sq ft	3,267	\$252.00
Improvement	Wood Fence (D-922)	\$8.00 x 50%	LF	150	\$600.00
Improvement	Gravel Drive	\$0.75 x 50%	Sq ft	750	\$281.00
Improvement	Metal Farm Gates (D923)	\$150 x 50%	EA	3	\$225.00
Cost to Cure Items	Property Pin Placement	\$500	NA	NA	\$500.00
	Total Offer				\$26,834.00

; and

BE IT STILL FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator or his designee is authorized to execute any documentation that is necessary or appropriate to convey the right-of-way, and grant the easements to VDOT.

Planning and Zoning; Consider Amendments to County Code Regarding Preliminary Plan Changes to the Subdivision Ordinance to Comply with State Code Mr. Jeff Harvey, Director of Planning and Zoning, gave a presentation and answered Board members questions. Mr. Harvey noted that the Planning Commission voted 7-0 at its August 13, 2014 meeting to approve the proposed amendments.

The Chairman opened the public hearing.

No persons desired to speak.

The Chairman closed the public hearing.

Mr. Sterling motioned, seconded by Ms. Sellers, to adopt proposed Ordinance O14-27.

The Voting Board tally was:

Yea: (7) Bohmke, Cavalier, Milde, Sellers, Snellings, Sterling, Thomas

Nay: (0)

Ordinance O14-27 reads as follows:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REORDAIN STAFFORD COUNTY CODE, SEC. 22-57, "FILING;" SEC. 22-65, "WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY PLANS;" SEC. 22-76, "SUBMISSION OF PLANS;" SEC. 22-77, "REVIEW AND APPROVAL;" SEC. 22-78--22-85, "RESERVED;" AND SEC. 22-270, "REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF CLUSTER SUBDIVISION PLANS"

WHEREAS, on March 31, 2014, the Governor signed HB209, which amended Virginia Code § 15.2-2260, effective July 1, 2014, allowing localities to require the submission of preliminary subdivision plats (plans) only for submissions creating 51 or more lots; and

WHEREAS, the Subdivision Ordinance currently requires the submission of preliminary plans for nearly all subdivisions, regardless of the number of lots created; and

WHEREAS, the Board desires to amend and reordain Stafford County Code, Sec. 22-57, "Filing;" Sec. 22-65, "Waiver of preliminary plans;" Sec. 22-76, "Submission of plans;" Sec. 22-77 "Review and approval;" Sec. 22-78--22-85, "Reserved;" and Sec. 22-270, "Review and approval of cluster subdivision plans," to change the County Code to conform with the Virginia Code; and

WHEREAS, the Board considered the recommendations of the Planning Commission, staff, and the testimony, if any, at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that this Ordinance promotes and is consistent with good planning practices;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Stafford County Board of Supervisors on this the 16th day of September, 2014, that Stafford County Code Sec. 22-57, "Filing;" Sec. 22-65, "Waiver of preliminary plans;" Sec. 22-76, "Submission of plans;" Section 22-77 "Review and approval;" Sec. 22-78--22-85, "Reserved;" and Sec. 22-270, "Review and approval of cluster subdivision plans," be and they hereby are amended and reordained as follows, all other portions remaining unchanged:

Sec. 22-57. Filing.

- (a) A subdivider shall file with the agent an application for preliminary subdivision plan review for subdivisions creating 51 (fifty-one) or more lots or may file an application for subdivisions creating 50 (fifty) or fewer lots on standard forms provided by the planning and zoning department accompanied with ~~the~~ applicable fees.
- (d) Any person or developer who submits a preliminary subdivision plan for approval under the provisions set forth in this article shall submit written proof of notification to all property owners who shall own property adjoining such property. Notice sent by registered or certified mail to the last known address of any such owner, as shown on the current real estate tax assessment

books of the county, shall be deemed adequate compliance with this requirement. This provision of notice shall be the responsibility of the owner or developer, who shall mail receipts to the county at the time plans are submitted. No preliminary subdivision plan shall be approved within five (5) days of any such notice. The notification required by this section shall read as follows:

"This is to notify you that a preliminary subdivision plan has been submitted with the Department of Planning and ~~Community Development~~ Zoning, County of Stafford, ~~Stafford County Administration Center~~ George L. Gordon, Jr., Government Center, 1300 Courthouse Road, Stafford, VA 22554, (540) 658-8668, for a decision by the Planning Commission. The application may be reviewed at the above office."

Sec. 22-65. ~~Waiver~~ Exemptions from of preliminary plans.

- (2) ~~Family subdivisions~~ All subdivisions creating 50 or fewer lots; or

Sec. 22-76. Submission of plans.

- (1) Following notification of the planning commission's approval on the preliminary plan, if applicable, and prior to, or simultaneously with, the application for final plat approval, the subdivider shall submit to the agent an application for approval of construction plans on standard forms provided by the department of planning and ~~community development~~ zoning with applicable fees.
- (5) When a subdivider is proposing a development with infrastructure, the subdivider shall submit to the agent an application for approval of construction plans on standard forms provided by the department of planning and zoning with applicable fees.
- (6) a. The construction plan shall be prepared by an architect, landscape architect, engineer, surveyor, or other persons authorized by the Virginia Code. The plan shall be drawn on numbered sheets at a scale of 100 feet to the inch unless otherwise agreed to by the agent. If construction plans require more than one sheet, match lines shall clearly indicate where the several sheets join and shall be accompanied by a key plan showing the entire development at a reasonable scale and comply with all applicable sections of this chapter.
- b. The agent shall notify the subdivider if the application for a construction plan is incomplete or does not comply with the submission requirements of this chapter. The application for construction plan review shall be considered "officially submitted" after it has been determined by the agent that all of the submission requirements have been met.
- c. Any person or developer who submits a construction plan for approval under the provisions set forth in this article shall submit written proof of notification to all property owners who owns property adjoining such property. Notice sent by registered or certified mail to the last known address of any such owner, as shown on the current real estate tax assessment books of the county, shall be deemed adequate compliance with this requirement. This provision of

notice shall be the responsibility of the owner or developer, who shall mail receipts to the county at the time plans are submitted. No construction plan shall be approved within five days of any such notice. The notification required by this section shall read as follows:

"This is to notify you that a construction plan has been submitted to the Department of Planning and Zoning, County of Stafford, George L. Gordon, Jr., Government Center, 1300 Courthouse Road, Stafford, VA 22554 (540) 658-8668, for a decision by Stafford County. The application may be reviewed at the above office."

d. Traffic impact analysis as outlined in 24 VAC 30-155 shall be submitted when any construction plan generates vehicle trips per day that meet the VDOT requirements for TIAs under 24 VAC 30-155, as certified by a civil engineer. An addendum or supplementary TIA shall be submitted when required by VDOT regulations.

Sec. 22-77. ~~Review and approval.~~ Content.

(1) *Generally.* The subdivision name; names and addresses of owners and subdivider and names of holder of any easements affecting the property; name and address of the individual who prepared the plan, date of drawing (including the revision dates); number of sheets; match-line key plan; overall plan of the proposed subdivision on the construction plan in its entirety on one sheet, with an information legend and without the match-line key plan information; north arrow shown, and where practical, oriented to the top of the page; graphic scale; magisterial district; project number; tax map id and parcel number; total acres of site; zoning tabulation from Table 3.1 "District Uses and Standards" on the cover sheet; and signature line for each of the following: Agent of the Stafford County Board of Supervisors, VDOT Official, Department of Utilities, Fire Marshal, and E&S/Stormwater Administrator.

(2) *Vicinity sketch map:* A vicinity sketch map of a scale of one inch equals 2,640 feet or larger showing the location of the proposed subdivision with respect to adjoining property including the area within one mile. In addition, this map shall show the locations, names, and route numbers of all existing roads and the names and location of railroads, political boundaries, subdivisions, public schools, parks, libraries, and fire and rescue stations.

(3) *Topographic map:* A topographic map with a contour interval of not greater than two feet showing the entire area covered by the subdivision properly related to United States Geological Survey (USGS) data and showing the boundary lines of the tract to be subdivided with designated floodplain districts, floodway limits delineated and limits of clearing and grading.

(4) *Streets, easements, rights-of-way, lots, and lot lines:*

a. Existing: The names, locations, and dimensions of the following: all streets (existing and platted), public water and sewer facilities; easements; rights-of-way; lot lines, and structures with a statement if they are to remain or be razed.

b. Proposed: Names, locations, and dimensions of proposed streets and lots, including a boundary survey or existing survey of record with an accuracy of not less than one foot in 2,500. Indicate the number of total acres in each use. For subdivisions of five

or more lots, the location of all driveways and street access points, including sight distance along existing state maintained roads shall be shown. Offsite easements shall be shown and recorded prior to construction plan approval.

c. Sections: Boundaries and section numbers for subdivisions which are to be developed in phases.

d. Lots: Number and approximate area of all lots. Lots shall be numbered consecutively (beginning with "1, 2, 3...") throughout the subdivision to prevent duplication of lot number, and show over lot grading.

(5) Land for public or common use:

a. Proposed by the subdivider: All parcels of land intended to be dedicated or reserved for public use or to be reserved in the deed for the common use of property owners in the subdivision. The use, method of maintenance, and ownership of the dedicated open space land shall be specified on the construction plan and recorded subdivision plat. The use shall comply with the regulations of the underlying zoning.

b. Comprehensive plan: Areas shown in the comprehensive plan as proposed sites for schools, parks, roads, or other public uses.

(6) Public water and sewer, required documentation:

a. A statement signed by an engineer giving estimates of the projected water and sewer needs of the entire development in gallons per day shall be shown on the cover page.

b. A detailed plan for the water distribution and wastewater collection system, showing general horizontal alignment, direction of flow, and points of connection to the existing system.

(7) Subdivision of land from more than one source of title: When the subdivision consists of land acquired from more than one source of title, the outlines of the various tracts and identification of the respective tracts shall be shown on the boundary survey or overall sketch and state whether boundary lines will be consolidated or vacated.

(8) Zoning, land use, and abutting subdivisions: The zoning classification and land use for the area being subdivided and adjoining properties. Owner names and locations of abutting subdivisions and adjoining parcels shall be shown.

(9) Stormwater management: Descriptions and locations of structures and facilities required from stormwater management as regulated by Section 22-133 of this chapter.

(10) Soil characteristics. Locations of soil classifications shall be depicted. Descriptions of the characteristics of the identified soil classifications shall be provided. Such descriptions shall include depth to bedrock, depth to seasonal high water table, erodibility, permeability and shrink-swell potential.

(11) Amenities and proffer implementation: Private amenities located within the subdivision shall be identified by graphic descriptions and narratives within identified sections of the subdivision. A copy of the applicable zoning ordinance for the property

with narrative and graphic description how proffers will be implemented shall be included on the plan.

(12) Private well and on-site sewage disposal systems:

a. All lots that are not to be served by public sewer or water utilities shall show the location of well and on-site sewage disposal system on each lot. The location of all wells and on-site sewage disposal systems shall meet Virginia Department of Health requirements.

(13) Open space land in a cluster subdivision.

a. The use, method of maintenance, and ownership of the dedicated open space land shall be specified on the construction plan and recorded subdivision plat. The use shall comply with the regulations of the underlying zoning district and shall be subject to guidelines as set forth by the appropriate state agency or department.

b. If the open space land is dedicated to park or recreational use, the use shall conform to the policy guidelines for parks and recreation in Stafford County.

(14) Landscape requirements set forth in the DCSL for Stafford County.

Sec 22-778. Review and approval.

Secs. 22-798.—22-85. Reserved.

Sec. 22-270. Review and approval of cluster subdivision plans.

The review and approval of a developer's plans for a cluster subdivision shall follow the following steps:

- (1) *Concept plans:* The applicant shall submit a concept plan of the proposed cluster subdivision for development proposals creating 51 or more lots, and may submit a concept plan of the proposed cluster subdivision for development proposals creating 50 or fewer lots showing the designated open space land, the intended use for the open space land, and the lot and street layout. The plan shall comply with the submission requirements as stated in the checklist included in the department of planning and zoning application for cluster subdivision concept plan and all other applicable county code requirements. The plan will be reviewed by the subdivision agent or his designee for compliance with the cluster provisions of this chapter and chapter 28 of the County Code. If the concept plan is approved, the applicant ~~may~~ must then submit a preliminary subdivision plan for review for development proposals creating 51 or more lots, or may submit a preliminary subdivision plan for development proposals creating 50 or fewer lots.

; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED that this ordinance shall take effect on upon adoption.

Adjournment: At 7:34 p.m. the Chairman declared the meeting adjourned.

Anthony J. Romanello, ICMA-CM
County Administrator

Jack R. Cavalier
Chairman